We study the shape of the average reward as a function over the memoryless stochastic policies in infinite-horizon partially observed Markov decision processes. We show that for any given instantaneous reward function on state-action pairs, there is an optimal policy that satisfies a series of constraints expressed solely in terms of the observation model. Our analysis extends and improves previous descriptions for discounted rewards or which covered only special cases.
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1 Introduction

The problem of maximizing the expected long term reward in partially observable Markov decision processes (POMDPs) over the set of memoryless stochastic policies has been studied in a number of recent papers (see, e.g., Ross [1983], Vlassis et al. [2012], Ay et al. [2013], Montúfar et al. [2015], Montúfar et al. [2015], Azizzadenesheli et al. [2016], Montúfar & Rauh [2017], Rauh et al. [2019]). An important question is how to characterize good priors, i.e., constraints, that could be imposed on a policy model, so as to reduce the complexity of learning, without incurring losses in terms of the achievable values of the objective. At one extreme, when there is a single problem (POMDP) under consideration, the full answer to this question corresponds to characterizing an optimal policy for this particular problem. At the other extreme, when all possible problems are under consideration, one can impose no constraints at all, since each possible policy will be the unique optimizer of one particular problem. We are interested in the situation where certain general properties of the POMDP are given, and how we might translate these properties into policy constraints as described above, independently of the specific instantaneous reward function.

2 Definitions

Formally a POMDP is a tuple \((W, S, A, \alpha, \beta, R)\), where \(W, S, A\) are finite sets of world states, sensor states, and actions, \(\beta: W \rightarrow \Delta_S\) is a Markov kernel describing sensor measurements (observation model), \(\alpha: W \times A \rightarrow \Delta_W\) is a Markov kernel describing world state transitions, and \(R: W \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is an instantaneous reward function. A policy is a mechanism for selecting actions. We consider memoryless (and thus time independent) stochastic policies, which are described by Markov kernels of the form \(\pi: S \rightarrow \Delta_A\), and which we call simply policies. We denote the set of policies by \(\Delta_{S,A}\). The world state and the instantaneous reward are updated at discrete time steps by iterating \(\beta, \pi, \alpha, R\) as illustrated in Figure 1. When \(\beta\) is a deterministic injective map, the observations fully identify the world state, and the POMDP reduces to a Markov decision process (MDP).

The objective of learning is to find a policy that maximizes some form of expected reward. We will focus on the average reward over an infinite horizon. We make the standard assumption that for each fixed policy, the Markov chain of world states is irreducible and aperiodic (e.g., \(\alpha\) is strictly positive). This implies that there is a unique stationary limit distribution \(p^*_W \in \Delta_W\), which is independent of
the initial state distribution $\mu \in \Delta_W$. In this case, the average reward can be written as
\[
R(\pi) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T} R(W_t, A_t) \big| \pi, W_0 \sim \mu \right] = \sum_w p_W(w) \sum_a \sum_s \pi(a|s) \beta(s|w) R(w, a).
\]
(1)

We will also write $\xi(a|w) = \sum_s \beta(s|w) \pi(a|s)$ for the world state policy. The setting of discounted rewards replaces $\frac{1}{T}$ by a discount factor $\gamma^t$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, which leads to a function that depends on the start state distribution.

We denote as a task any particular choice of $R$ in a POMDP. Of course other definitions might be meaningful too, depending on the context.

3 Constraints Satisfiable by Optimal Policies

We are interested in the following theorem, which provides a certain type of extension of the well known fact that any MDP has an optimal policy which is memoryless and deterministic. The theorem can be interpreted as saying that determinism can be used as a task-agnostic prior for solving POMDPs.

**Theorem 1** (Montúfar & Rauh 2017, Theorem 1). Consider a POMDP $(W, S, A, \alpha, \beta, R)$. Then there is a policy $\pi^* \in \Delta_{S,A}$ which satisfies
\[
| \text{supp}(\pi^*(\cdot|s)) | \leq | \text{supp}(\beta(s|\cdot)) |, \quad \text{for all } s \in S,
\]
and $R(\pi^*) \geq R(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \Delta_{S,A}$.

There exists an optimal policy that randomizes only as many actions as there are states compatible with the current observation. The existence of fully deterministic optimal policies for MDPs, assigning positive probability to only one action at each observation, follows immediately, since $| \text{supp}(\beta(s|\cdot)) | = 1$ for MDPs. We note that it is possible to construct examples of POMDPs for which each optimal memoryless policy attains the bounds specified in the theorem with equality.

One might consider the requirements on the observation model to be somewhat restrictive. However, recent work (Rauh et al., 2019) shows (for discounted rewards), that if $\beta$ nearly satisfies these requirements, then there is a nearly optimal policy that satisfies the specified support constraints. This means that we can obtain generally applicable task-agnostic priors for approximately optimal policies.

Theorem 1 was shown using a notion of policy improvement cones for expected discounted rewards. The average reward case was then obtained by means of limit arguments over the discount factor. We would like to deduce it based solely on the geometry of the optimization problem. A geometric approach in this spirit was pursued by Montúfar et al. (2015) based on a decomposition of the average reward function into a continuum of linear pieces, but obtaining only the constraints for $s \in S$ with $| \text{supp}(\beta(s|\cdot)) | \leq 1$.

We present a geometric analysis based on a notion of policy improvement cones for average rewards. We obtain the following refinement of Theorem 1:

**Theorem 2.** Consider a POMDP $(W, S, A, \alpha, \beta, R)$. Then there is a policy $\pi^* \in \Delta_{S,A}$ which satisfies
\[
\sum_{s' \in S'} | \text{supp}(\pi^*(\cdot|s')) | \leq | \bigcup_{s' \in S'} \text{supp}(\beta(s'|\cdot)) | + |S'| - 1, \quad \text{for all } S' \subseteq S,
\]
and $\mathcal{R}(\pi^*) \geq \mathcal{R}(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \Delta_{S,A}$.

The statement of Theorem 1 corresponds to the inequalities for $S' \subseteq S$, $|S'| = 1$. In the case of a deterministic $\beta$, the sets $\text{supp}(\beta(s'))$ are disjoint for all $s' \in S$. In this special case, the constraints for $S' \subseteq S$, $|S'| \geq 2$ in Theorem 2 are already implied by the constraints for $S' \subseteq S$, $|S'| = 1$ in Theorem.

4 POLICY IMPROVEMENT CONES FOR AVERAGE REWARDS

Definition 3 (World policy improvement cones). Fix a world policy $\xi \in \Delta_{W,A}$. We write $\xi_w = (\xi(a|w))_{a \in A} \in \Delta_{\{w\},A}$ and $\nabla \xi_w = (\partial \xi(a|w))_{a \in A}$. For any $w \in W$ define

$$l^w_\xi = \nabla \xi_w, R(\xi) \in T_{\xi} \Delta_{\{w\},A}.$$  

The world policy improvement cone at $\xi$ for a given set $W' = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\} \subseteq W$ is

$$L^{\xi,W'} = \{\xi' \in \Delta_{W,A} : \langle (\xi'_w - \xi_w), l^w_\xi \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for } w \in W', \text{ and } \xi'_w = \xi_w, \text{ for } w \in W \setminus W'\}.$$  

This is an intersection of $|W'|$ half-spaces in $\Delta_{W',A}$, with fixed values in $\Delta_{(W \setminus W'),A}$.

Lemma 4 (World policy improvement cones). For any $\xi \in \Delta_{W,A}$, $W' \subseteq W$, and $\xi' \in L^{\xi,W'}$, we have $\mathcal{R}(\xi') \geq \mathcal{R}(\xi)$.

Proof. Given any world policy $\xi \in \Delta_{W,A}$, we write $p^W_\xi \in \Delta_W$ for the corresponding stationary world state distribution, and $p^\xi \in \Delta_{W \times A}$ for the corresponding joint distribution with $p^\xi(w,a) = p^W_\xi(w)\xi(a|w)$. The average reward of $\xi$ is $\mathcal{R}(\xi) = \langle p^\xi, R \rangle = \sum_{w,a} p^\xi(w,a)R(w,a)$. Let $\xi' = \xi + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i l_i^\xi \in L^{\xi,W'}$, where $i$ indexes the elements of $W'$. By Proposition 5 below, $p^{\xi'} = p^\xi + \sum_{i} \mu_i r_i$, where $r_i = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mid_{\epsilon=0} p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi}$ and $\mu_i \geq 0$. Since $\xi + \epsilon l_i^\xi \in L^{\xi,W'}$ for any $i$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$0 \leq \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mid_{\epsilon=0} \mathcal{R}(\xi + \epsilon l_i^\xi) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mid_{\epsilon=0} \langle p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi}, R \rangle = \langle r_i, R \rangle,$$

and hence $\mathcal{R}(\xi') = \langle p^{\xi}, R \rangle + \sum_i \mu_i \langle r_i, R \rangle \geq \mathcal{R}(\xi)$.

Proposition 5 (Cones of world policies and stationary joint distributions). Consider the map $f : \Delta_{W,A} \to \Delta_{W \times A} : \xi \mapsto p^\xi$ that maps a world policy $\xi$ to the corresponding stationary joint distribution $p^\xi(w,a) = p^W_\xi(w)\xi(a|w)$. Let $W' = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$. A cone of the form $L^{W'} = \{\xi + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i l_i^\xi : \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, k\} \subseteq \Delta_{W,A}$, where $l_i \in T_{\xi} \Delta_{\{w_i\},A}$, maps to a cone of the form $f(L^{W'}) = \{p^{\xi'} + \sum_{i} \mu_i r_i : \mu_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, k\} \subseteq \Delta_{W \times A}$, where one may choose $r_i$, as for $r_i = p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi} - p^\xi$ or as $r_i = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mid_{\epsilon=0} p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Proof. We first show that $f(L^{W'})$ is a convex cone with extreme rays $M^i = \{p^{\xi} + \mu_i(p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi} - p^\xi) : \mu_i \geq 0\}, i = 1, \ldots, k$. For each $i$, the ray $L^i = \{\xi + \lambda_i l_i : \lambda_i \geq 0\}$ is a product of convex sets (it consists of vectors with all coordinates fixed except one coordinate which is a ray), and hence its image $f(L^i)$ is convex (see Proposition 6 below), which implies $f(L^i) = \{\xi + \mu_i r_i : \mu_i \geq 0\} = M^i$, $r_i = (p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi} - p^\xi)$. The cone $L^{W'}$ is also a product of convex sets, and hence $f(L^{W'})$ is also a convex set. In fact, any subset $W'' \subseteq W'$ will produce a convex set. Assuming that $f$ is injective on $L^{W'}$, this implies that $M^i$ are the extreme rays. But the only way $f$ can be non-injective is if $p^W_\xi(w) = 0$ for some $w$, in which case $p^\xi(w,a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$, and $w$ can be excluded.

It remains to show that it is possible to replace the cone generator $r_i = p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi} - p^\xi$ by $r_i = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mid_{\epsilon=0} p^{\xi+\epsilon l_i^\xi}$. This follows since the statement of the proposition holds true for any rescaling of the $l_i$.

Proposition 6 (Convex sets of world policies and stationary joint distributions). Consider a set $G \subseteq \Delta_{W,A}$ of world policies. Assume that $G$ is a Cartesian product of convex sets, $G = \times_w G_w$, where each $G_w \in \Delta_{\{w\},A}$ is convex. Then the set $K \subseteq \Delta_{W \times A}$ of stationary joint distributions corresponding to $G$ is convex.

Now we translate the improvement cones for world policies to sensor policies. Consider a policy \( \pi \in \Delta_{S,A} \) and its corresponding world policy \( \xi = f_\beta(\pi) \in \Delta_{W,A} \), where \( f_\beta \) is the linear map \( \Delta_{S,A} \to \Delta_{W,A} \): \( \pi(s) \mapsto \sum_s \beta(s|w) \pi(a|s) \). We can define sensor policy improvement cones as follows.

Definition 8 (Policy improvement cones). For each sensor state \( s \in S \), define
\[
L^{\pi,s} = \{ \pi' \in \Delta_{S,A} : f_\beta(\pi') \in \Delta_{W,A}^{L_{\pi,s}}, \text{ and } \pi' = \pi_{s'}, \text{ for } s' \neq s \},
\]
where \( W_s = \text{supp}(\beta(s|\cdot)) \). This is an intersection of \(|W_s|\) half-spaces in \( \Delta_{(s),A} \), with fixed values in \( \Delta_{(s\setminus{s}),A} \).

Lemma 9 (Policy improvement cones). For any \( \pi \in \Delta_{S,A} \), \( s \in S \), and \( \pi' \in L^{\pi,s} \), we have \( R(\pi') \geq R(\pi) \).

Proof. This follows immediately by the way the cones \( L^{\pi,s} \) are defined, and in view of the world policy improvement cone Lemma 4.

Lemma 10 together with Lemma 10 below, implies Theorem 1.

Lemma 10 (Montúfar & Rauh 2017 Lemma 5). Let \( P \) be a polytope with affine hull \( V \), and let \( l_1, \ldots, l_k \) be vectors in \( V \). For any \( p \in P \), let \( L_{i,+} = \{ q \in P : \langle l_i, q-p \rangle \geq 0 \} \). Then \( \bigcap_{i=1}^k L_{i,+} \) contains an element \( q \) that belongs to a face of \( P \) of dimension at most \( k-1 \).

We see that across all the policy improvement cones, there are only a total of \(|W|\) inequalities. Instead of working with individual cones for each \( s \), we can consider any set of the form \( W_{S'} = \cup_{s \in S'} W_s' \), and
\[
L^{\pi,W_{S'}} = \{ \pi' \in \Delta_{S,A} : f_\beta(\pi') \in \Delta_{W,A}^{L_{\pi,s}'} \} \text{ and } \pi' = \pi_s, \text{ for } s \in W \setminus W_{S'} \}.
\]
In this case, Lemma 4 together with Lemma 10 implies that there is an optimal policy \( \pi^* \) with \( \sum_{s' \in S'} |\text{supp}(\pi^*(s'|\cdot))| \leq |S'| + |W_{S'}| - 1 \) for all \( S' \subseteq S \). Note that the \((d-1)\)-dimensional faces of \( \Delta_{S,A} \) are policies with at most \(|S| + d - 1\) non-zero entries. This proves Theorem 2.

5 Discussion

We introduced a notion of policy improvement cones for the average reward in infinite-horizon POMDPs with memoryless stochastic policies. This allows us to study the average reward optimization problem globally (vs. policy gradients which only formulate local descriptions). We prove the existence of optimal policies that satisfy a series of constraints. These constraints are independent of the instantaneous reward function at hand, and hence they can be regarded as task-independent priors for POMDPs.

The results are formulated in terms of certain properties of the observation model that might be considered to be restrictive. In order to obtain generally applicable priors for approximate optimal policies, future work could explore extensions of the stability analysis of Rauh et al. (2019) from discounted to average rewards.
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A Illustration

We visualize the geometry of the optimization problem in a small example. Let $W = \{1, 2\}$, $S = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \{1, 2\}$. Then $\Delta_{S,A}$ and $\Delta_{W,A}$ are squares, and $\Delta_{W \times A}$ is a tetrahedron. In Figure 2 we plot these sets, alongside with the values of the average reward, for a fixed random choice of the world state transition kernel $\alpha$, a fixed random choice of the reward function $R$, and various choices of the observation kernel $\beta$.

Figure 2: Top row: Simplex $\Delta_{W \times A}$ containing the set of feasible stationary joint distributions. Middle row: Polytope $\Delta_{W,A}$ containing the set of feasible world state policies. Bottom row: Policy polytope $\Delta_{S,A}$. Here $R$ and $\alpha$ are fixed and $\beta$ is ranging from full observability $[1, 0; 0, 1]$ (left) to blind $[1, 1; 0, 0]$ (right). Color indicates the average reward (darker is lower). Shown are also the level sets of the average reward (black), stationary distribution (red), and rows of the world policy (green). The red and green level sets are linear over $\Delta_{W \times A}$, $\Delta_{W \times A}$, and $\Delta_{S,A}$. 